Prevalence of Fragrance Sensitivity in the American Population

Abstract
This study determined the percentages of individuals who report adverse effects from exposure to fragranced products in the U.S. population and in subpopulations of those with asthma or chemical sensitivity. Data were collected through telephone interviews from two geographically weighted, random samples of the continental U.S. in two surveys during 2002-2003 and 2005-2006 (1,057 and 1,058 cases, respectively). Respondents were asked if they find being next to someone wearing a scented product irritating or appealing; if they have headaches, breathing difficulties, or other problems when exposed to air fresheners or deodorizers; and if they are irritated by the scent from laundry products, fabric softeners, or dryer sheets that are vented outside. Results aggregated from both surveys found that 30.5% of the general population reported scented products on others irritating, 19% reported adverse health effects from air fresheners, and 10.9% reported irritation by scented laundry products vented outside. This study reveals that a considerable percentage of the U.S. population reports adverse health effects or irritation from fragranced products, with higher percentages among those with asthma and chemical sensitivity.

Introduction
Fragranced products—such as air fresheners, laundry supplies, personal care products, and cleaners—are ubiquitous in modern society. Recent studies suggest that fragranced products can elicit adverse health effects, especially in susceptible individuals such as asthmatics (Rumchev, Spickelt, Bulsara, Phillips, & Stick, 2004; Zock et al., 2007). Further, fragranced products can generate potentially substantial levels of indoor air pollutants, including volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and ultrafine particles (Singer et al., 2006). Despite growing evidence about links between fragranced products and health, the prevalence of adverse reactions to fragranced products in the general public and in subpopulations has not been determined or systematically examined. This study addresses this need.

Fragranced Products and Potential Health Effects
Fragranced consumer products have been associated with a range of health effects that include headaches (Farrow, Taylor, Northstone, & Golding, 2003; Kelman, 2004), chest tightness and wheezing (Kumar et al., 1995), infant diarrhea and vomiting (Farrow, Taylor, Northstone, & Golding, 2003), mucosal irritation (Elberling et al., 2004), reduced pulmonary function (Elliott, Longnecker, Kissing, & London, 2006; Shim & Williams, 1986), asthma and asthmatic exacerbations (Kumar et al., 1995; Medina-Ramón et al., 2006; Rumchev, Spickett, Bulsara, Phillips, & Stick, 2004; Shim & Williams, 1986), rhinitis and airway irritation (Larsson, Frisk, Hallstrom, Kiviloog, & Lundback, 2001), sense organ irritation (Millqvist, Bengtsson, & Löwhagen, 1999), and epidermal effects such as contact dermatitis (de Groot & Frosch, 1997; Rastogi, Heydorn, Johansen, & Basketter, 2001). While the emphasis of prior work has been on epidermal exposure effects, consistent with intentional use of products (Cadby, Troy, & Vey, 2002), other exposure routes such as inhalation can be of concern for unintentional and public exposures to fragranced products.

Chemical formulations of fragranced products are largely undisclosed to consumers, due to trade secrets and other regulatory protections (Steinemann, 2009). Furthermore, relatively few studies have analyzed the chemicals emitted from fragranced products. In perhaps the largest and most relevant studies, Wallace and co-authors (1991) and Cooper and co-authors (1992) analyzed 31 fragranced products such as perfumes, deodorants, soaps, fabric softeners, and air fresheners, and identified approximately 150 unique VOCs. The most common VOCs, emitted from at least half of the products, were ethanol, limonene, linalool, β-phenethyl alcohol, and β-myrcene. Steinemann (2009) analyzed six top-selling fragranced products (air fresheners and laundry supplies), and identified more than 50 unique VOCs. The most common VOCs, emitted from at least half of the products, were ethanol, limonene, α-pinene, β-pinene, carene isomer, 2,4-dimethyl-3-cyclohexene-1-carboxaldehyde (Triplal 1), acetaldehyde, benzyl acetate, 3-hexen-1-ol, and linalool. Also emitted from 5/6 of the products were one or more “Hazardous Air Pollutants” (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency [U.S. EPA], 2002), including acetaldehyde, chloromethane, and 1,4-dioxane. In addition to
primary pollutants, fragrance VOCs (e.g., limonene) can react readily with ozone to produce secondary pollutants such as formaldehyde, organic aerosols, ultrafine particles, and the hydroxyl radical (Nazaroff & Weschler, 2004; Sarwar, Olson, Corsi, & Weschler, 2004; Singer et al., 2006).

Few studies have previously attempted to determine the prevalence of adverse reactions to fragranced products in the general population or in subpopulations. In an epidemiological study of 1,027 households in eastern North Carolina (Meggs, Dunn, Bloch, Goodman, & Davidoff, 1996), 10.5% of the sample reported that one or more individuals in the household had adverse reactions to perfume. Other population surveys of chemical sensitivity, which included perfume sensitivity, found a prevalence of 15.9% in California (Kreuzer, Neutra, & Lashay, 1999), and 12.6% in metropolitan Atlanta (Caress & Steinemann, 2004a, 2004b). This study, therefore, investigates adverse reactions to fragrances reported by individuals with either characteristics of chemical sensitivity or a medical diagnosis of MCS.

**Methodology**

Two geographically weighted, random national telephone surveys of the continental United States were conducted in 2002-2003 and 2005-2006. The first sample contained 1,057 cases and the second sample had 1,058 cases. These sample sizes have a confidence interval of ±3.0% and a confidence level of 95%. Each sample was composed of four separate seasonal cohorts.

The research implement was a questionnaire that asked respondents if they found being next to someone wearing a scented product, such as perfume or aftershave lotion, irritating or appealing; if they have headaches, breathing difficulties, or other problems when exposed to air fresheners or deodorizers; and if they are irritated by the scent from laundry products, fabric softeners, or dryer sheets that are vented outside (this question was asked in the second survey only). Additional questions asked respondents if they had been medically diagnosed with asthma, if they had been medically diagnosed with MCS, and if they are unusually sensitive to everyday chemicals like those in household cleaning.
products, paints, perfumes, detergents, insect spray and things like that," consistent within previous prevalence studies of chemical sensitivity (Caress & Steinemann, 2004a, 2004b; Kreutzer, Neutra, & Lashway, 1999). Demographic data on age, gender, and race/ethnicity were also collected. Frequencies of responses were recorded and used for cross-tabulation.

Results
The percentages of individuals reporting reactions to fragrances from both the first and second surveys, respectively, are provided below with aggregated percentages given in the tables.

Reactivity in General Population
Among the general population, 31.1% and 29.9% found scented products on others to be irritating (Table 1). Air fresheners caused headaches, breathing difficulties, or other problems for 17.5% and 20.5% (Table 2). Scented laundry products vented outside caused irritation for 10.9% (asked in second survey only) (Table 3).

Reactivity of Individuals with Asthma
Among those with asthma, 37.2% and 37.9% found scented products on others to be irritating (Table 1). Air fresheners caused headaches, breathing difficulties, or other problems for 29.7% and 37.2% (Table 2). Scented laundry products vented outside caused irritation for 21.2% (asked in second survey only) (Table 3).

Reactivity of Individuals with Chemical Sensitivity
Among those with chemical sensitivity, 74.4% and 60.2% found scented products on others to be irritating (Table 1). Air fresheners caused headaches, breathing difficulties, or other problems for 35.6% and 60.2% (Table 2). Scented laundry products vented outside caused irritation for 39.8% (asked in second survey only) (Table 3).

Reactivity of Individuals with MCS Diagnosis
Among those diagnosed with MCS, 69.2% and 48.7% found scented products on others to be irritating (Table 1). Air fresheners caused headaches, breathing difficulties, or other problems for 57.7% and 53.7% (Table 2). Scented laundry products vented outside caused irritation for 26.8% (asked in second survey only) (Table 3).

Prevalence of Subpopulations
Among all respondents, 14% and 12.9% had been medically diagnosed with asthma, 11.1% and 11.6% reported sensitivity to common chemical products, and 2.5% and 3.9% had been medically diagnosed with MCS (Table 4). Among those diagnosed with asthma, 27.0% and 31.4% also reported chemical sensitivity, and 7.4% and 11.7% had also been diagnosed with MCS. Among those with chemical sensitivity, 34.2% and 34.9% had also been diagnosed with asthma. Among those diagnosed with MCS, 42.3% and 39.0% had also been diagnosed with asthma.

Reactivity in Demographic Groups
The demographic characteristics (gender and ethnicity/race) of the entire sample for both national surveys are provided in Table 5. The percentage of the respondents in each gender and ethnicity/race group who gave a positive response to questions on irritation from scented products, breathing problems from air fresheners, and irritation from vented laundry products are given in Table 6. Among gender groups, a higher percentage of females, in both surveys, reported reactions to scented products on others (36.0% and 31.6%), to air fresheners (21.1% and 22.0%), and to scented laundry products (12.2%; second survey only). Among ethnicity/race groups, Asians had the highest percentages of reactivity in the first study to scented products on others (38.1%) and to air fresheners (23.8%). In the second study, Hispanics had the highest reactivity to scented products on others (44.3%), Asians had the highest reactivity to air fresheners (26.3%), and Caucasians had the highest reactivity to scented laundry products (11.0%).

Discussion and Conclusion
Results from both surveys indicate that a considerable segment of the American population has adverse reactions to fragranced products, with 30.5% reporting that scented products on others are irritating, 19% experiencing headaches, breathing difficulties, and other problems from air fresheners or deodorizers, and 10.9% being irritated by the scent of laundry products, fabric softeners, or dryer sheets that are vented outside. Individuals with asthma and chemical sensitivity report adverse effects to scented products, air fresheners, and scented laundry products in higher proportions than the general public, as do females relative to males, but these reactions extend beyond these subpopulations. Limitations of this study are that it relied on self-reported data from individuals, it did not specify and medically confirm the types of irritation or other problems experienced by individuals, and it did not investigate factors such as exposure routes and chemical formulations. Nevertheless, the magnitude and consistency of the results from both surveys suggest that sensitivity to fragrances is a widespread condition, and underscores the need for additional research and attention.
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TABLE 5
Demographic Percentages of Positive Respondents in Two National Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Other/Not Reported</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>African-American</th>
<th>Caucasian</th>
<th>Other/Not Reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey sample</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scented products on others irritating</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air fresheners cause health problems</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scented laundry products irritating</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey sample</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scented products on others irritating</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air fresheners cause health problems</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scented laundry products irritating</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Rounding causes some totals to equal more than 100%.

TABLE 6
Percentages of Positive Respondents in Each Demographic Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scented products on others irritating</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air fresheners cause problems</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scented laundry products irritating</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scented products on others irritating</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air fresheners cause problems</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scented laundry products irritating</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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